

# **Washington State Auditor's Office**

## **Audit Report**

### **Audit Services**

---

Report No. 57908

**CITY OF GIG HARBOR**

Pierce County, Washington

January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995

Issue Date: December 20, 1996

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<hr/> <b>Management Section</b> <hr/>	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Laws And Regulations At The Financial Statement Level (Plus Additional State Compliance Requirements Per RCW 43.09.260) .....	M-1
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure At The Financial Statement Level .....	M-3
<hr/> <b>Financial Section</b> <hr/>	
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements And Additional Information .....	F-1
General-Purpose Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheet ) All Fund Types And Account Groups - 1995 .....	F-4
Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes In Fund Balances ) Budget And Actual ) General, Special Revenue, And Debt Service Funds - 1995 .....	F-6
Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenditures, And Changes In Fund Balances ) All Governmental Fund Types - 1995 .....	F-8
Combined Statement Of Revenues, Expenses, And Changes In Retained Earnings/Fund Balances ) All Proprietary Fund Types And Similar Trust Funds - 1995 .....	F-9
Combined Statement Of Cash Flows ) All Proprietary Fund Types - 1995 .....	F-10
Notes To Financial Statements .....	F-11
Additional Information:	
Schedule Of State Financial Assistance - 1995 .....	F-28
Notes To Schedule Of State Financial Assistance .....	F-29
<hr/> <b>Single Audit Section</b> <hr/>	
Independent Auditor's Report On Supplementary Information Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance .....	S-1
Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance - 1995 .....	S-2
Notes To Schedule Of Federal Financial Assistance .....	S-3
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With The General Requirements Applicable To Federal Financial Assistance Programs .....	S-4
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Specific Requirements Applicable To Major Federal Financial Assistance Programs .....	S-6
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure Used In Administering Federal Financial Assistance Programs .....	S-8
<hr/> <b>Addendum</b> <hr/>	
Directory Of Officials .....	A-1

**CITY OF GIG HARBOR**  
**Pierce County, Washington**  
**January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995**

---

**Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Laws And Regulations  
At The Financial Statement Level (Plus Additional State Compliance  
Requirements Per RCW 43.09.260)**

---

Mayor  
City of Gig Harbor  
Gig Harbor, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements, as listed in the table of contents, of the City of Gig Harbor, Pierce County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the City of Gig Harbor is the responsibility of the city's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the city's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants.

We also performed additional tests of compliance with state laws and regulations as required by *Revised Code of Washington* (RCW) 43.09.260. This statute requires the State Auditor to inquire as to whether the city complied with the laws and the *Constitution of the State of Washington*, its own ordinances and orders, and the requirements of the State Auditor's Office. Our responsibility is to examine, on a test basis, evidence about the city's compliance with those requirements and to make a reasonable effort to identify any instances of misfeasance, malfeasance, or nonfeasance in office on the part of any public officer or employee and to report any such instance to the management of the city and to the Attorney General. However, the objective of our audit of the financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with these provisions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported herein under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended for the information of management and the mayor and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag  
State Auditor

November 4, 1996

**CITY OF GIG HARBOR**  
**Pierce County, Washington**  
**January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995**

---

**Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure**  
**At The Financial Statement Level**

---

Mayor  
City of Gig Harbor  
Gig Harbor, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of the City of Gig Harbor, Pierce County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the city is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the city, we obtained an understanding of the internal control structure. With respect to the internal control structure, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control structure. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk

that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operations that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

This report is intended for the information of management and the mayor and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag  
State Auditor

November 4, 1996

**CITY OF GIG HARBOR**  
**Pierce County, Washington**  
**January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995**

---

**Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements And Additional  
Information**

---

Mayor  
City of Gig Harbor  
Gig Harbor, Washington

We have audited the accompanying general-purpose financial statements of the City of Gig Harbor, Pierce County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the city's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City of Gig Harbor, at December 31, 1995, and the results of its operations and cash flows of its proprietary fund types for the fiscal year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of State Financial Assistance listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated November 4, 1996, on our consideration of the city's internal control structure and a report dated November 4, 1996, on its compliance with laws and regulations.

Brian Sonntag  
State Auditor

November 4, 1996

serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag  
State Auditor

November 4, 1996



**CITY OF GIG HARBOR**  
**Pierce County, Washington**  
**January 1, 1995 Through December 31, 1995**

---

**Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Structure Used In  
Administering Federal Financial Assistance Programs**

---

Mayor  
City of Gig Harbor  
Gig Harbor, Washington

We have audited the general-purpose financial statements of the City of Gig Harbor, Pierce County, Washington, as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 1996. We have also audited their compliance with requirements applicable to major federal financial assistance programs and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 1996.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of OMB Circular A-128, *Audits of State and Local Governments*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-128 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and about whether the city complied with laws and regulations, noncompliance with which would be material to a major federal financial assistance program.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the city's internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and on compliance with requirements applicable to major federal assistance programs and to report on the internal control structure in accordance with OMB Circular A-128. This report addresses our consideration of internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to compliance with requirements applicable to federal financial assistance programs. We have addressed internal control structure policies and procedures relevant to our audit of the financial statements in a separate report dated November 4, 1996.

The management of the city is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures. The objectives of an internal control structure are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that:

- Assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition.
- Transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- Federal financial assistance programs are managed in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure, errors, irregularities, or instances of noncompliance may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

For the purpose of this report, we have classified the significant internal control structure policies and procedures used in administering federal financial assistance programs in the following categories:

- **Accounting Controls**
  - Cash receipts
  - Cash disbursements
  - Receivables
  - Accounts payable
  - Purchasing and receiving
  - Payroll
  - Property, plant, and equipment
  - General ledger
- **General Requirements**
  - Political activity
  - Davis-Bacon Act
  - Civil rights
  - Cash management
  - Federal financial reports
  - Allowable costs/cost principles
  - Drug-Free Workplace Act
  - Administrative requirements, including subrecipient monitoring
- **Specific Requirements**
  - Types of services
  - Eligibility
  - Matching, level of effort, earmarking
  - Reporting
  - Special requirements
- **Claims For Advances And Reimbursements**
- **Amounts Claimed Or Used For Matching**

For all of the applicable internal control structure categories listed above, we obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and determined whether they have been placed in operation, and we assessed control risk.

The following internal control structure categories were determined to be insignificant to federal financial assistance programs:

- **Accounting Controls**
  - Inventory control
- **General Requirements**
  - Relocation assistance and real property acquisition

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, the city expended 100 percent of its total federal financial assistance under major federal financial assistance programs.

We performed tests of controls, as required by OMB Circular A-128, to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of internal control structure policies and procedures that we considered relevant to preventing or detecting material noncompliance with specific requirements, general requirements, and requirements governing claims for advances and reimbursements, and amounts claimed or used for matching that are applicable to the city's major federal financial assistance programs, which are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance. Our procedures were less in scope than would be necessary to render an opinion on these internal control structure policies and procedures. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Our consideration of the internal control structure policies and procedures used in administering federal financial assistance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with laws and regulations that would be material to a federal financial assistance program may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of management and the mayor and to meet our statutory reporting obligations. This report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Brian Sonntag  
State Auditor

November 4, 1996